

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	I don't want any structure at all.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	4 - Somewhat Supportive	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	4 - Some Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	
I Do Not Live In Nahant	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	NO EXPANSION ON EAST POINT
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	If they are allowed to do this they will take more liberties down the road. The fact that they have not given up shows that they do not care about the town or it's residents despite what they may say. Construction of any kind can't happen, even with redesigned plans. The town made a big mistake back in the 60's selling the land for next to nothing a second mistake is not acceptable.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	4 - Some Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident		1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	2 - Somewhat Opposed	4 - Somewhat Supportive	2 - Somewhat Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	Please select from below	1 - Very Opposed	4 - Some Progress	Most importantly, I do not believe them. I do Not trust them or believe they will abide by promises Made. Therefore, I am strongly Opposed [REDACTED]
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	Please select from below	They paved paradise and put up a parking lot... #keepnahantwild
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	2 - Somewhat Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	4 - Some Progress	

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification1

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Little Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	Insufficient information on too many important points for any option as presented to be viewed positively.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	4 - Some Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No to expansion.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I have two questions. One why are we limit d in going to lodge park after sunset? Two. Lodge park is a great place for star gazing. what about added ambient light?
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I had no interest in seeing any design options as I do not want any new buildings built. The fact that they spent so much time speaking to how the buildings will be concealed was not relevant to citizens who simply do not want a building built even if it is invisible! I would have liked more time spent on their reasoning behind taking up precious green space when there are other commercial areas that they can build. Why Nahant? Can't a building built on commercial land serve the same purpose? I would have liked more information on projected traffic and not current traffic. I would have liked more information on projected wear and tear on our infrastructure. I would like more information on how the active construction will increase noise pollution. How will the construction impact the ecosystems and wild life? How will construction impact our infrastructure? To sum it up there was too much time spent on how the building will look and not how the building will "act and impact".
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Does NU know the meaning of the word " NO ".
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	Something is going to be built on the Northeastern Nahant Campus. It is the duty of the Selectman to obtain something for Northeastern to offset Northeastern's increased use of Nahant Town Utilities. I hope that the impact of the Northeastern expansion is minimal and tasteful.
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	There also seems to be no concern for the potential damage to residential property in all of this. Nahant rd will never be the same As it is subjected to construction traffic and the extra traffic their site will house. There are far too many cars involved in their plan. All this for extra conference space!!? It is totally unacceptable.
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Kick them the hell out of my Town

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification²

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I am deeply concerned about NEU expansion. Their five letters, and both presentations were full of condescension, "smoke and mirrors," and a profound lack of transparency. Research into NEU's devious, and relentless methods with their Burlington, and Roxbury projects is disturbing. In the latter community, especially reports involving accusations of escalating gentrification, and aggravation of race relations are deeply troubling. NEU only seems to offer modifications when caught out on grave issues by eagle-eyed talented Nahanters. This seems to be a pattern with them. Are these offers real? Why does NEU offend further, for example, supposedly "collaborating" with our fishermen? Our mariners are rightly offended. What about the issue of construction damage to habitat, and then "restoring" that habitat? What will happen to all the flora, and fauna currently there; for example, the marvelous poplar tree where the Monarch butterflies swarm in the autumn before their incredible migration to Mexico, it seems to be perilously close to the proposed second parking lot near the Murphy Bunker? Would Nahant be able to hold NEU to any of these supposed modifications? What legal avenues do Nahanters have? Moreover, from my thirty-six year of work with many wonderful Nahant community organizations, I have seen true generosity of spirit, mutual encouragement, and incredible accomplishments in our town. NEU could have been a much more generous neighbor in many endeavors. They had to be told about the history of the Lowland estate icehouse, a rare surviving example to avoid having it torn down. NEU staff and students had to be stopped from renaming East Point beaches. Why do they only reluctantly respond when called upon? NEU needs to partner up to convince me that they care about anyone except their "ivory towers." Lastly, regarding trees to screen buildings, I learned from Massachusetts Horticultural Society about an outstanding firm, which is famous for recycling full grown trees to new locations. Acorn Tree & Landscaping, Inc. 513 Codman Hill Rd. Boxborough, MA 01713 Phone: 978-635-0409, Fax: 978-635-9840 Email: acorntree@me.com Btw, several illustrious Nahanters have been members of MHS, including Thomas Roland, a legendary landscape designer, master gardener, and orchid breeder. The wonderful Atlas Cedar in front of Town Hall (on the flagpole side) was planted in his memory by Nahant Garden Club. NEU should follow that example in screening buildings. Why not give Nahant a gesture of good will by working with Acorn to screen existing buildings now? Appreciate this opportunity to give input!
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	Remarkable progress in addressing citizens' concerns. I favor option B because it has the smallest footprint and is least visible, and doesn't have the inefficiency of option C: for people working in the building(s), having to go from one building to another will be inconvenient. I am pleased with the plans to remove the trailers and enhance landscaping.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Still no answers on questions asked! For example: How many more people will come in every day/will work in the new building? Why so big? What about sewer system/light pollution? Why not have the building in Lynn if no wet labs? Any reassurance that they won't build a second floor later? Or something completely different than shown? What does Nahant/residents gain with this project? More PILOT?? Except that the pictures of the proposed options looked nicer (and I doubt that it will look like this in the end), I don't see any real progress. This project is way too big for Nahant!! So I am still "very opposed"! NO to expansion!
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No Expansion
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Are the town residents who don't have access to the internet, computers, or are housebound, informed and able to respond to these feed backs?
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No Northeastern expansion.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I am opposed to any expansion at East Point. East Point is zoned NR Natural Resource Area PER Nahant Master Plan Bylaws which restricts development and prohibits building or development at East Point. The governing bodies of Nahant should uphold our bylaws and the will of 1700 residents and inform NU that no further development is permitted at East Point.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	There was an offer to collaborate in devising a reliable survey of opinion regarding Northeastern's expansion in Nahant, one that might have provided useful feedback to all concerned. The offer was not accepted. Instead we have a questionnaire that is clearly tendentious, written in a way to get a particular kind of result and lending itself to having its responses manipulated. In other words, respondents are squeezed into responding to a question framed as "How much do you like NU's plans?" For instance if there were ten responses to each of the options offered in answer to a question, then the reported result would be that 80% of Nahanters viewed NU's actions with some degree of favorability. Nice from a PR perspective but not worth much else. It is the same approach used at Roxbury Crossing. https://huntnewsnu.com/43984/city-pulse/residents-protest-city-housing-policies-nu-dorm/ And who will determine if a respondent is a Nahanter or not a Nahanter if they choose to be anonymous? How many non-Nahanters will be responding to this questionnaire. If there had been effective collaboration in devising a really good questionnaire instead of this PR exercise it might have helped to improve the very contentious and divisive situation we find ourselves in. This questionnaire pushes us in the wrong direction.

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification³

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I believe they could modify their existing building for the lab work they need to do in Nahant and on the water. For everything else, they can go to Lynn, a short ride away, and build what they say they need in a town that is eager to have them and whose ecosystem is not as delicate as ours. Nahant has so little open space. We need to preserve ours.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I think the survey should have included an option of "opposed to any expansion". We couldn't stay for the entire meeting but I thought that the MIT professor's points during the comment period were very valid. As of the time we left, there still had been no discussion of NEU paying its way, so to speak. The scholarships, etc seems very small vs not paying taxes, etc. NEU doesn't seem to be getting the message that we don't want this expansion.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	I have been visiting Nahant friends the [REDACTED] for 30 years before being able to purchase a home here. We have been here now 20 years and want the quaint No business feel to remain. We moved from a big city college town And it really changes a community. Those visiting a town for conferences and educational purpose do not have Loyalty or even know about the community. Thanks for all your work. Happy Holidays /praying the power of the people win.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	Having started out in neutral regarding the NE expansion, I find I am adamantly opposed to the project now. This does not have to be in Nahant, does not belong in Nahant, and does nothing for Nahant. Nahant is much too small to easily incorporate this addition, especially at East Point and, I understand, there were alternative locations available. Wouldn't we all have liked to go to school at East Point...?
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I am very disappointed that Northeastern is doing this to my town. There will be too much traffic and I will not be able to ride my bike safely on Nahant Road. They will also destroy East Point and that is a beautiful place that lots of animals live in. Please don't do this to my town. [REDACTED]
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	[REDACTED]. Nahant is my hometown and the only place I have ever lived and I don't want to move. I don't understand why Northeastern is so rude to wild nature and all the animals that live on East Point. Now all the homes that animals made will be destroyed. Northeastern will ruin nature and I think their idea is very bad. That's why I say please help us to stop them.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	3- Neutral/Undecided	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	it seems as if NU is making every effort to compromise and adjust to our concerns. We should try to get some financial help from them for the town and make sure that they rehab the property and maintain it.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	NU made a good attempt to recover from the 2/15/2018 meeting when their original plans where presented. However, NU did not listen to the feedback of the residents when it was communicated that the size and scale of the building is disproportionate to the Town. It is still unclear the true use of the facilities and at the same time the Town Leadership has not leveraged its right that East Point is a designated "natural resources area". I am opposed to any development at East Point and would only look to allow NU marginal increase on their facilities on the East Side of East Point- Edwards Bunker area. Additionally, the information communicated on the traffic study was incomplete. They should have not provided any data until the results of the study were completed. The Town should have monitored this more closely and shows a lack of complete understanding to the depth of the impacts that will happen if this project was to occur. I would like the Town to work with Nahant Preservation Trust and residents who have been actively seeking to remediate this issue for the town and come together on mutual and beneficial solution and then together prevent NU from this project to go forward. Thank you for your consideration. Lastly, when does the survey period close?
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Outside of many of the issues raised, and in particular the all the changes associated with a very large increase in population including the change of a wild place to a campus and light pollution, one issue that I have not yet heard is that it is likely that once word gets out that the site will become a summer weekend destination for many of the non-scientific Northeastern population thus much further increasing traffic, litter, noise etc. Another point that I have not heard carefully addressed is what the much increased population there will do for lunch. Will there be a cafeteria, food trucks, delivery of fast or other food, etc?

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification⁴

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	NE is clearly not listening. We are not against science and we support building the Coastal Research Institute in another town, IN AN INDUSTRIAL ZONED area, in a place that it is wanted by another community. There are plenty such sites and there is one right in Lynn, but other towns are also possibilities. This expansion on East Point is not allowed because it is a Natural Resource District and this construction would ruin the natural beauty of East Point for our children and grandchildren and all future generations. Northeastern plans disingenuously left out access roads, fire roads, handicapped parking and access roads to the building, loading docks and lighting, etc. With the usual winter storm winds, their plans to hide the building would quickly fail. Their traffic analysis did not show the amount of increased traffic, especially buses and diesel trucks running through all of Nahant with infrastructure damage, air, light and noise pollution. In summary, we are firmly opposed to any and all expansion. It is also high time that NE remove the unsightly temporary trailers and the idling, air polluting security vehicle. Thank you for doing this survey.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	While I sincerely see a need for Northeastern to expand from the Marine Science Center to become the Coastal Sustainability Institute (I do applaud their efforts in this regard), I DO NOT agree that the expansion should take place in Nahant. Northeastern stands to win everything by this expansion, while the citizens of Nahant stand to lose a great deal more than we bargained for should this expansion go through unimpeded...bearing the tax burden of what it will do to the infrastructure in Nahant to build such an enormous campus on East Point. With regard to Option C, I think a much more modest expansion behind the Edwards building (only) is completely realistic; that being said, however, I DO NOT think the expansion on top of the main bunker should ever take place...no matter which option (A, B, or C) Northeastern proposes. As far as Northeasterns progress is concerned, I thought the models they presented were spectacular, but that the university has done very little with regard to FULL DISCLOSURE about exactly what or how that East Point campus is going to be utilized, etc. Northeasterns power point graphs/charts during the special town meeting on Tuesday night were vague and ambiguous at best...making them VERY misleading. In conclusion, I think there is still a long way to go, and a lot of other (more realistic) options that Northeastern could come up with regarding their proposed expansion. Thank you for providing this Nahant citizen feedback form.
I Do Not Live In Nahant	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	5 - A Lot of Progress	The legacy project (genome) is remarkable--I am proud to be neighbor of the NE Marine Lab--this project is so grand and so global in it's scope it will most likely put Nahant on the worldwide map--it is not as large as Wood's Hole but I know the folks in Falmouth are proud of their association--this project as envisioned (all options) has my complete support--I am an architect and as such have presented and participated in projects for 40 years--the Lab's presentation was thorough and demonstrated tremendous sensitivity to the Town's concerns--what folks overlook is the entirety, save the edges, is that East Point is manmade--there is nothing natural about it--I am not a fan of military installations and their project will camouflage that eyesore--I only wish they would remove the observation towers which blight the Nahant landscape along with it--In trust, [REDACTED]
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	This project is very much opposed. This feels like a decision has been made to go with an option they are proposing . There is still so much building, so little factual information on their plans for this project and so much oposition from them to hear our concerns.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	i am opposed to the northeastern expansion project at east point. I really don't like the way that northeastern has handled this. I don't think that the town of nahant can support an expansion of this scale.
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	2 - Little Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification5

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	3- Neutral/Undecided	3 - Neutral/Undecided	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Some Progress	My take-away from the last meeting is that while a majority of residents expressed concern that a 60,000 sq ft expansion is too large for our 1 sq mi town, Northeastern's response has only been to develop options to redistribute the same square footage over different configurations. Personally, I don't think they've adequately explained why they need that much additional space, not have they sufficiently addressed the impacts on the town's infrastructure and resources. Also, the traffic "study" conducted over a couple of days in November was dubious at best.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	In light of widespread and passionate opposition, the town needs to mount a more rigorous defense against this expansion plan. There should be no more discussions or selectmen meetings with NU. All legal avenues should be investigated to stop this development completely. We will hold our elected representatives accountable for protecting our town and our way of life.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I am opposed to any expansion by NU in Nahant. This survey does not include an Option "Opposed to any expansion". This omission was done consciously and with the purpose of trying to force residents to pick an Option that supports one expansion scenario when 1700 residents including the BoS signed a Petition against any expansion which was hand delivered to NU in Boston. This Survey speaks to collusion between the Town government and NU. How could anyone think otherwise? Appalling display of arrogance and dismissive behavior by you public officials. Be happy to discuss my assertions in private or publicly. [REDACTED]
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	It is clear that in order to defeat Northeastern we, the residents of Nahant, need to remove 2 of the 3 selectmen from office. And the fact that the new TA did not include "Option D - No Expansion" on this survey is shameful. Stop doing Northeastern's bidding boys.
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	option "D" none of the above.....
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	As expressed by many in the meeting at Town Hall on December 12, this proposed construction is out of proportion for Nahant and does not need to be built in Nahant. The images are unrealistic and trees cannot hide this construction. The people have spoken. Give up the initiative and move it somewhere else.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	Audio visual and online view was best I have ever experienced for a meeting in the town hall. Northeastern needs to restart with a bottoms up statement of requirements with the space/facility needs for a specific set of expected professors or disciplines. This would not only help explain the true goals of the expansion but when the actual needs both in Nahant and in Boston (most adds are in multiple departments and thus will also have space and students and classes in Boston) are factored in I anticipate that the total requirements would be much less than 60k gsf. Having spent over 20 years in the corporate real estate department of a major technology company, I have lots of experience in helping users define and reduce statement of requirements and then have projects approved by top executives or the Board of Directors. I did this type of work for office functions, labs, manufacturing and headquarters in the US, Europe and Asia so am quite familiar with the strategies people use to justify more space and the funding to go with it. My suspicion is that the total gsf and supporting requirements have been backed into based on available funding or possibly that the total space reflects a long term plan of having significantly more professors and staff than described in the presentations or facilities to support large numbers of visiting academics or something else. Unfortunately, since most architects are paid based on the total cost of a building, they are not motivated to reduce statements of requirements. There are real estate professionals who can do this. I would expect that NEU would have some in their facilities staff. Another basis for suspicion was the proposal for the upgraded pumping station. The presentation included a plan to build a 2500 sq ft pumping station. When I spoke to the engineer, he said that even for the 2400 gpm equipment, with lots of space around the equipment for maintenance, they only needed 800 sq ft. I suspect that the 2,500 sq ft requirement was really for a boat house whose funding would have been hidden in the funding request for the pumping station. If the requirements are reduced enough then the smaller building in Alternative C could be made bigger and meet the growth needs. Even if that did not work, a major reduction in the total, would go a long way toward convincing the town people that NEU is responsive to the concerns of Nahanters. As to the parking areas in the alternatives presented last night, they should be aware that the large tree between the dumpsters and the south end of the bunker needs to be protected. In the past it has served as a major resting spot for thousands of Monarch Butterflies migrating through Nahant. It is also visible from Nahant Bay. Finally, last night we were asked for ways that the MSC could demonstrate better citizenship in Nahant. Here are a few suggestions related to showing concern for the environment: Run an electric cord to the security guard so he could power a small heater and cooler in his car rather than idling for many hours each day. He is actually violating the Mass. anti-idling law. Eliminate the use of pesticides and nitrogen fertilizers on the lawns on the MSC campus. As part of any classes which use Canoe Beach, Bathing Beach etc for diving, tide pool exploration, the students and instructors should be made aware of the need to keep trash out of the sea and on their way back across the beach they should pick up any trash that they walk across, rather than ignoring it. Clean up trash on Bathing Beach or at least the trash the volunteers take from the beach and stack near the pumping station.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	It is clear that majority of residents do not want this going forward. I am confused as to what NU has to do to get approval to go forward. What is that process? From the state ? From the town? Thank you
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification6

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	How can NU or the Nahant BOS believe any real progress is being made in this process when NU officials and the BOS have chosen to secretly meet behind closed doors to discuss this issue out of the public eye? Such actions are nothing more than an open disregard of the opinions of the Citizens and the Electorate of the Town of Nahant and a violation of the of the intent of the Freedom of Information Act. While these one-on one meetings may thinly meet the letter of the law, they flagrantly violate the spirit of the law and have caused a deep suspicion among the people of Nahant about the true intentions of both the University and the BOS. To steal a quote from the 1967 movie, Cool Hand Luke, "What we've got here is failure to communicate". What we've got here is a lack of trust and until that trust can be restored, there is no option from A-Z that will receive the support of the majority of the Townspeople of Nahant!
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I respect the vision and mission of NU and applaude their efforts in becoming a world-class institution of higher learning. However, given the small size of Nahant and the enormous size and scope of the University's ambitious plans for expansion at East Point, I strongly believe that the project is inappropriate for the Town.
Yes I Am A Resident		4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	Additional ideas for consideration: 1. NEU could consider actively restoring East Point to it's pre-military use state - recreate some of the historical buildings/mansions that were lost and use them as research and teaching facilities. Study the historical record to recreate the landscape and grounds of that time period. ██████████ of Nahant seems to have deep subject matter expertise and an archive of photos of the site from that time period. Nahant loves its history (rightfully so). I believe NEU would do well to appeal that history and town pride. 2. Create a public, open East Point Museum to one of these rebuilt buildings that chronicles the history of the area and provides a tourist attraction and whose proceeds go to the town. 3. Add welcoming, public access/pathways within the grounds, to officially welcome visitors to experience the area. Imagine a connecting loop along the northern edge of the property, directly connecting Canoe Beach up to Lodge Park. Add signage and educational markers that highlights the bio-diversity and history of the area. 4. Allow vehicular access up to Lodge Park for residents (free) and non-residents (a fee), to make it easier for visitors to access and enjoy Lodge Park. In particular, for elderly and disabled visitors, current access is prohibitive. Perhaps consider adding security or attendant to provide oversight and a measure of safety. 5. Consider removing or restoring the remaining military structures on the cliffs past Lodge Park. Either remove them due to being a hazard and visual detractor, or restore as part of embracing the military historical significance. 6. Come up with a less aggressive security protocol. The officers sitting at the gate in their vehicles all day long is not very welcoming. 7. Commit to proactively taking all remediating steps to prevent light/sound/traffic pollution. As an example, the current glow from the grow houses is undesirable and detracts from the beauty of the area.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I am opposed to all suggestions NEU has proposed. PLEASE find a way to stop this madness.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	
I Do Not Live In Nahant	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	There should not be expansion at East Point. Why aren'tthe selectmen listening to the people?
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Eminent Domain. Especially, according to Richie, as we have private funds \$\$, it's a no brainer! Stop the BOS's policy of appeasement. NEU will not stop; expansion & profit is now in its DNA, unlike decades ago.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	You need input from qualified town residents to analyze the proposals and identify issues. Don't not accept pilot to make up for ruining our nahant gem.

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification7

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I do not feel Nahant is the right place for what NEU is planning.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	4 - Some Progress	I am open to learning more about Northeastern's aspirations for the use of the site, and am passionate about the importance of both marine science and coastal sustainability. Thus far, however, I do not understand the university's long term plans for the site. I am also not comfortable with the size of the building. At 60,000 square feet (30,000 of actual space, per the NEU representatives), it is a massive building in a tiny town. I believe in the scientific work the university wants to pursue. I do not think that this town is the correct location for a building of that size.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	3 - Neutral/Undecided	Please select from below	We need to ask I'm advance how NU is going to support the additional strain on water and sewer.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I am opposed to any expansion given the outcome of Roxbury and Burlington.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No means no and I'm a little disappointed the BOS has sold out to NU...
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	Please select from below	5 - A Lot of Progress	NorthEastern has gone out of their way to accommodate the concerns of The residents. Some of the residents are just out of control. NorthEastern owns the property And for them to be subjected to this type of public ridicule is crazy. I give them a lot of credit for Sitting and acting professional throughout all these meetings, while a bunch of adults act like 2 year olds who couldn't get their own way! The selectmen should work with NorthEastern to come up with a plan that "the selectmen" can live with. That's why we vote so the three selectmen can make those types of decisions. These public meetings have just become a giant complaint session. The one great takeaway through these meetings is they've exposed the Preservation Trust real mission and the reason for pushing this foolish By-law! The Preservation Trust has lied, presented mis-leading facts and is only working for the self serving interests of those on the board! **The Preservation Trust should be disbanded or reorganized!
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No Expansion!
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	Please select from below	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	This is a totally inappropriate project, for the entire town. Much too large in scale for our tiny town. Northeastern can find, with ease, a welcoming location more appropriate for them and less invasive for us. They have not been bad tenants of East Point but there is little that they have done in the past half a century to make us think they will be good neighbors in the future. The representatives from the University are hired to promote this project, not one of them really cares about what happens to our town in the future. It is purely a business enterprise only beneficial to the University. Any money that transpires to the town will be temporary and not solve long tem fiscal issues. The Town needs to manage its own budget not wait for temporary handouts. What would the next give away be, the Town Wharf. The Voters and Selectmen must do what is best for the town in the long term, leave a legacy to be proud of, think carefully and act with a view to the future. A short term payment is not worthy of long term destruction of our town's quality of life and precious landscape.

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	3- Neutral/Undecided	3 - Neutral/Undecided	3 - Neutral/Undecided	4 - Some Progress	The points about the proposed size of any of the versions presented, being out of balance with MIT and Mass General standards and ratios (as indicated by [REDACTED]), with respect to the intended and future use, is a major issue that needs to be clarified by Northeastern. I also feel that the images, views and models would be most helpful if they depicted multiple angles and also natural/real color schemes of the materials that would be used for construction. I also think that it would make sense to see the views from inside the Northeastern building for all of the schemes presented. They seem to be "selling" an unobtrusive design that is shielded by soil mounds and berms. I would like to know what that looks like from the inside to gauge how realistic their model representations are. If they are really bent on completely hiding the structure wouldn't it be possible to put essentially the whole thing underground? I also think reducing the parking footprint and blacktop footprint, and eliminating exterior lighting, as much as possible are essential components to making the plan work. I remain undecided, but would lean toward being supportive if honest answers and solutions can be derived. Thanks, [REDACTED]
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I am baffled why we are still having this conversation. The wish of the people in Nahant is VERY clear. They want NO extension. Period. The role of the selectman - elected by the Nahant community - is to protect the interests of the Nahant Town. This includes protecting the beauty of its landscape and reducing traffic. The main question was NOT answered at the meeting. WHY does Northeastern need to build in Nahant??? - Why not in Lynn, where they are open for it. And no one could answer the question why so Big. Northeastern has shown consistently that they don't treat the people of Nahant with respect and are very selective in what they listen to and what information they share. The trust level has further decreased as you could clearly observe in the meeting. IT is time that the selectman - in union - put a stop to this process. There should be NO extension!!
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	NO EXPANSION EVER!
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	None of the above. 1. These renderings are an embarrassment. They are pitifully sketchy. The winter and summer views are deceptive, cleverly disguising the visual impact by showing the building white on a light field. And, does anyone believe that NU is just building rectangular boxes? Not any architect I know of would do this and certainly not for 30-50 million with exceptional views. 2. NU needs to present a serious traffic IMPACT study. The numbers given at the meeting were embarrassing especially from a university that wants to be considered as a "world class" outfit. After last night, they look even more inept and certainly not fit partners going forward. 3. NU needs to commission an UNBIASED environmental impact study, especially considering its intention to bury all of its mechanicals and to dig geothermal wells. They are graciously offering to restore East Point because after all the wreckage during construction, they will have to do it anyway. I do not believe they are the leaders in climate change that they claim to be. They barely are on NOAA's radar, given the little funding they get from the US. They are seeking monies from third world countries who will fund their labs. Why is this something the town of Nahant needs to be part of? 4. All we get from NU is PR fluff, carefully crafted to gloss over residents' concerns. Other scientists should also weigh in. Let them. Ring in their colleagues to discuss the appropriateness of this location. Last night's meeting was led by the Senior lawyer, the PR people and the staff, not to mention the architect who is faithfully serving his client. If NU were truly serious about resolving community opposition, they would gather their academic colleagues. So what is the point of this survey? It seems very likely that this so-called survey is a way of getting fodder for the next PR communique that will say: we offered the town a chance to be part of the process. Do your jobs TA and BOS. Represent the people who vote and pay taxes here. With today's NU press release, put out immediately (!) NU is licking their chops, grateful to have such pushovers in their pockets. In agreeing to this dishonesty and this sham of a survey, you are making their jobs easier. This is a ill-conceived, greedy project, being pushed by a very borderline university in need of getting a share of corporate and non US government funding. Why else would they want 33000 sq feet of research space (this is huge) if not to make lucrative "partnerships" just as they are doing in Burlington. I ask you, Why is this appropriate for Nahant?

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification9

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident		1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I do not understand why a town which is opposed in majority to this is even giving NU this much time on stage and the bully pulpit in the public hearing. We do not want their expansion. There is nothing they can do or are attempting to do that would make their proposal palatable. In response to local opposition they have proposed miniscule changes. The operational impact on our town remains substantively the same. I have not heard a single good reason why we would. NU representatives are lying in this hearing about their handling, e.g. of the Burlington situation, or at least, their representation thereof flies straight in the face of testimony we have heard from Burlington citizens. We all collectively happen to be the current stewards of land that we are fortunate enough to live on. No amount of money is large enough, no University ambition is important enough to outweigh our sacred mission to preserve Nahant's unique character that we have come to love, and keep it the town we have come to cherish. NU has many alternatives, we and our children do not. The wildlife on East Point does not. Everything I have heard is shallow, unconvincing, imperialist propoganda of an institution with a mission and desires very different of what we as Nahant inhabitants should stand for. NO climate research center needs to be on East Point, there are a million alternative sites for it. There is NO reason whatsoever we should give into their (understandable) interests. They simply do not match our own interests, they destroy our town, our nature, our wildlife. Engagement with NU needs to STOP. NOW.
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	NEU has a track record of dishonesty. Any agreement to limit future added development will be very difficult to enforce. This could be the camels head in the tent. The BOS and TM should be developing a plan for eminent Domain covered by a bond, with bond debt service as an added tax to be voted at TM.
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	3- Neutral/Undecided	3 - Neutral/Undecided	5 - Very Supportive	4 - Some Progress	I feel that NEU is progressing in the right direction with alternative designs. Unfortunately the vast majority, or at least the vocal majority of the town does not want any expansion at NEU MSC. If things are done in accordance with local bylaws, I see no problem what so ever with some sort of expansion. I think it would be great for Nahant to have a world class research center in the town.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	think it is imperative that NU provide thorough and honest answers about the anticipated uses of their proposed facility -- especially #s of people, events, parking and access does the model they showed accurately depict the amount of area that will be used for parking? very concerned about # of cars -- basically it will be a parking lot PILOT payments are joke and there is no obligation see: https://www.boston.gov/sites/default/files/imce-uploads/2018-07/fy18_pilot_contributions_july_27_2018_final.pdf NU is among worst of the bad; Boston has lots of leverage over hospitals and schools because they are always expanding and they can't even get to 25% of tax value; how do you think Nahant will make out once NU builds
I Do Not Live In Nahant	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	Please select from below	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	Please select from below	After the presentation and citizen commentary I am convinced that no additional expansion should take place at the site.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I agree with a lot of others that were at the meeting. Lack of clarity, truth and compassion. Once Northeastern gets in, we have lost our town, yes Welcome to 'Northeastern Coastal campus'. I am very nervous about the extra cost of municipal services, tax increase on my property. Noises like we do not have now. I left Jamaica Plain and all it's hust and bustle with the busses, no parking, loudness for tranquility in Nahant. When it's gone it's gone. When they give us the funding initially we have sold our town and what will come is chaos, students, more faculty, congestion, pollution, ugly. Green space in all the Western countries is becoming rare, I come from England and its a constant struggle to protect the green belt. Butterflies and wide open spaces keep us all sane as does fresh sea air.
	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification10

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	East Point should be kept in the natural state it is today. No buildings should be built upon it. It is the hallmark of nature for the citizens of Nahant and an iconic, unique, natural habitat. Northeastern should build their facility in Lynn on the water there, where they have been invited and welcomed. Our smallest community of Nahant in Massachusetts will never be the same place of solitude and beauty again if Northeastern builds on East Point.
I Do Not Live In Nahant	Watched Online	3- Neutral/Undecided	5 - Very Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I do not want ANY EXPANSION to the MSC. I feel things should stay the way they are. ██████████
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I do not want ANY EXPANSION at MSC
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No expansion at all is the best option. The town does not want any building, regardless of size or where you put an entrance. No expansion.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
I Do Not Live In Nahant	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	Listen to the majority of the town (your neighbors) and put this project in Lynn where it's welcome.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	There's absolutely no reason to expand for only classroom and lecture facilities. Replacing the trailers is good, but doesn't require 60,000 square feet. The MSC should only be used for lab-based learning and research that requires direct access to the coastal waters. Use the main NU campus for other purposes. The impact to Nahant's infrastructure and services also needs to be addressed. Sewer, water, roads, power, fire department, police department, etc. will all be impacted with any expansion. Any proposal for expansion should address how NU will fund these additional expenses incurred by our town. The Marine Science Center has the ability to be an asset to Nahant, but NU is currently acting for only their own benefit.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification11

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	The NU proposals did make positive steps in addressing the issue of the visual impact of their building expansions, but I heard nothing from NU that justified the scope of this expansion. At least two people in the audience who themselves were lab scientists or married to one, asked why so much space was needed and how it did not make sense with the numbers they presented for teachers and students. And it did not make sense from when viewed as a ratio of development space allocation / town space (1 sq mile). Secondly, NU did not address the impact on the town's infrastructure. Finally, this process has destroyed the trust of residents in NU's ability to do the right things going forward for Nahant. This was dramatically underscored by the individual from Burlington who basically said that a beautiful park there has been marred by NU's building and the trust has been destroyed by NU's not keeping their word. Why do we think it would be different for Nahant?
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
		Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	we, the townspeople of nahant, made a mistake 50 years ago, and today we absolutely need not add to the consideration we paid at the time in order to gratify an unfeeling corporation which wants permissions to enlarge the scope of its east point operation. we're not dealing with a "school", here, or a "college". we're talking about an institution with no interest in its neighbors, whose managers tell us one thing today and will do differently tomorrow if they receive the permissions they seek. this is an impersonal observation. people do what they do if they can get away with it, regardless of constraints. our unwillingness to knuckle is common sense. are we just plain heedless, senseless here? neu's management does not care one whit for the feelings of Nahant's residents. they would if they lived here, and make no mistake, they will continue not to care. it's as simple as that. neu doesn't want to negotiate, and our best interests are served by our refusal to entertain ANY expansion plans of an insensitive neighbor. let us stand up and say, "NO".
Yes I Am A Resident		Please select from below	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Northeastern university is taking advantage of the town of Nahant if they want any of their proposals to be passed they should offer compensation for Nahant citizens such as acceptance to their undergraduate and past grad programs with either scholarships or increased acceptance rate.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	4 - Some Progress	Get rid of trailers, add to Edwards building, get the parking lots done. A 60000+ building isn't necessary to replace 2 trailers. I'm for studying climate change, but the monster facility doesn't have to be in Nahant.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	Their (NU's) expansion plans are just too big for the site and our town. East Point is probably my favorite thing about Nahant and the specific thing that made me want to buy a house here. No! No! No! Period!
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I want no expansion at all. Why wasn't that listed as an option? What has been discussed at the "private" meetings?
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Northeastern has no respect for the town of Nahant; we strongly oppose ANY building at East Point. Not 60,000 sq ft, not 30,000 sq ft. We have the facts on NU's disregard for other communities; promises made to override opposition in Roxbury and Burlington fell by the wayside. NU steamrolls forward only for their benefit. The town of Nahant continues to stand strong in opposition to any expansion, this was evident at December 11 meeting. A 2 day traffic survey in November is farcical. Collaboration with Nahant Lobstermen is a non truth. Ratio of space allotted per student/faculty vs overall square footage of entire town of Nahant is ridiculous. Lobster Hatchery is a reactive "throw a bone" tactic. Our tiny community will not support any expansion. Nahant says NO.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	Keep Nahant Wild!

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification12

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	There are more unanswered questions than answers at this time. Although the models were better than the February meeting, Northeastern has not explained the need for such a large project or its purpose. Has Nahant's Legal Counsel looked into enforcement of our current zoning or an exemption to the Dover Amendment? The sheer size of this project does not seem jive with the number of students or plan that has been presented by Northeastern. Other research facilities don't need so much space per student, why does Northeastern? How can we restrict what the building is used for once it is built?- Hours of operation, number of vehicles, lights, dumpsters, number of people and future development, commercial use? How can 1/2 of the total size projected be allotted for, "mechanical"? This does not seem to make sense. What is ecological impact of the proposed, "geo-thermal" development? Will this impact the Nahant's water/soil/temperature/stability of the rock ledges? Traffic Study? The Town of Nahant needs its own traffic study. Did they count cars or just wheels over a trip wire? Any parent of a high or middle school student travels back and forth to Swampscott multiple times a day. The numbers presented did not add up or make sense. We need our own numbers. Infrastructure impact studies on Nahant's sewer, water, gas, electric have not been completed. Public Safety impact studies have not been completed-we currently have a small fire and police force. This is a natural resource area. It needs to be protected, how can we protect it? How will Nahant Road at 40 Steps hold up to construction traffic and the additional traffic for a building that is this size? It is currently in need of repair. What about light pollution, garbage pick up/dumpsters, additional roads for fire service to an educational building? None of this appears on models presented. How can we put a price on the impact on Nahant Resident's quality of life- our town will never be the same if any one of these options, A,B,C is built as presented. It is simply too big for our small Town. We love our town. We need to preserve it. Thank you for holding this meeting and seeking Nahant residents feedback. We will need many more meetings with Northeastern to figure this out. Appreciate it!
Yes I Am A Resident		1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I oppose North Eastern university's addition in its entirety.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I completely oppose any new structure at East Point... Leave the only piece of natural space we have alone! Thanks
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	NO EXPANSION AT EAST POINT!
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	Hiding the building isn't the problem for us! ITS THE TRAFFIC THAT WILL COME AND DISRUPT THIS PEACEFUL PLACE RIGHT IN FRONT OF MY HOUSE! And exhaust fumes and noise and hundreds of strangers in a nature reserve beachfront setting ! We already have lights shining on us constantly at night the sound of machinery over there from generators or whatever makes that noise and the thought of constant cars driving by the front of my house is so horrifying I can't even imagine it
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I get a sense that this entire process is nothing but a time lapse sharade. North Eastern wants to be a good neighbor. Really? It is evident that the majority of this town does not support this expansion. Why does this bully of a "University" continually force us to fight?
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	In addition to the destruction of open landscape on east point and the natural habitat for a number of animals, I have a number of concerns about the stress this expansion will put on the town's infrastructure. From the time construction starts there will be an influx of heavy vehicles driving on our roads and when construction is completed there will be an additional increase of traffic to the site. How will the town be compensation for this? Is northeastern prepared to pay for all repairs and damages? Next, our water sewer system is already in need of repairs and updating. With the site being the furthest point into town and the construction of a large commercial building, how will our current system with-hold this strain? What does northeastern intend to do to contribute or assist in order to remedy this? Finally, to this point they have received a free ride in this town. They only donate a small portion of what they cost this town per year. We are the smallest town in the commonwealth and a have a relatively low tax rate, are we guaranteed that they will begin to contribute what they should to this town. The cost of repairing the damage this construction will cause is going to be a significant burden on this town and the tax payers. This is only a small number of concerns. I also have concerns related to the secrecy that we have met from northeastern, strain on the police force/fire department/ems, the amount of light pollution a structure of this size will produce, the modification of a historical site in order to create more parking for northeastern employees, and the impact of this construction/debris created from construction on the surrounding waters.

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification 13

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Any type is expansion is not acceptable. I say NO EXPANSION of the MSC in Nahant. This is not the place for the plan they have.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No expansion at East Point. Any type of building is NOT acceptable!
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	In the early 60's I worked in NU's top secret Planning Department. Because of my knowledge of how institutions work, I believe his present building is "the tip of the iceberg". It is merely the most difficult part of a greater plan which surely includes graduate dormitories. For many reasons we will not be able to contain any resident housing construction in our town, so it is imperative that we stop the construction at East Point. When students live in a town by law they have voting rights in that town. In a small town like Nahant a few hundred students would dominate elections. Unfortunately students are short term residents who vote without regard to longterm tax consequences. They live in tax exempt property and do not pay local taxes. The present proposal will more than destroy one of the last beautiful public views along the coast of Massachusetts, It will destroy the town of Nahant. It will both raise taxes and bankrupt the town at the same time. Consider just one way graduate dormitories would effect taxes; thank about what 30 or 40 new students a year would do to the towns budget. Consider free daycare, free preschool, or free all day kindergarten from the school department. Take away the new offices, labs, classrooms and there will be no need for graduate housing.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Assuming the BOS is advocating for the people of this little town, someone made an incredible mistake assembling this questionnaire. The VERY real option that SHOULD be on tjhs list is D- NO EXPANSION As a former chair of the Nahant Personnel Board, a five year member of FINCOM, and a contributor to the last Town Charter review, I am offended that the welfare of this wonderful town has been abused by this dereliction of duty
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I have a question. Why isn't there a 4th option stating, "NO EXPANSION." 1700 Nahant voters signed a petition stating, NO EXPANSION at Northeastern's Marine Science Center at East Point. Democracy means government by the people (the voters). Our Selectmen must remember that they represent the voters. There needs to be a much better effort on their part to demonstrate that they are representing our town's people.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	Good day: If placed properly and landscaped accordingly, the proposal from Northeastern University should work perfectly. The design element at Northeastern has proposed an excellent alternative. I am in favor of this proposal. Additionally, I think that Northeastern's approach to utilize a former military installation for research, et cetera, is exemplary! My kindest regards, [REDACTED]
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	3- Neutral/Undecided	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Some Progress	I was impressed with NU's presentation and believe NU personnel are sincere with working with Nahant elected officials and Townspeople to come to some sort of "compromise" at the site. I am opposed to the Town of Nahant of even considering an eminent domain taking as, in my opinion, it is irresponsible and NU most likely would win in court. I thank the Nahant BOS and Town Administrator for working with NU officials and opening up the discussion to all Nahanters, no matter how we perceive NU's project. Thank you for all that you do and listening to all of us! I offer a quote from a wonderful, wise, beloved American icon who we lost in 2018-Barbara Bush. In her words, "I hate the fact that people think Compromise is a dirty word!" It's time for "compromise" in Nahant! Thank you.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I am totally against any building at East Point. I do not trust Northeastern. They may have been good neighbors in the past but they are not being upfront about their plans for our precious land at East Point. We must stop this project!!!!
		Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	1 - No Progress	NU's reputation came before them. It's common knowledge, or so I thought, that change always comes with trepidation. And the way you avoid that is to get all players involved in the process as early as possible. Had they not been consumed by arrogance they would have acknowledged the facts earlier stated. And, most likely, they would have been well along with their project, somewhere that they are wanted. and as a taxfree entity who is going to pay for the new,extra demands on our infrastructure? Let's not forget that a 10% increase in population creates a demand on police,ambulance and fire services as well.That's a 10% increase of non-taxpayers. And what does nu's reputation say about their further expansion?..... Just sayin'
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification14

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	Please select from below	Northeastern is moving right along. It is Nahant that has to go back to gathering troops to be visible again. While NO BUILDING should be built. building option B was a great design. Please be advised...time to stay with grass roots...not NPT. We have lost some of the signaturees from March because of all the antics since April. Hope this makes sense..... It is how I feel.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Nahant residents have made it VERY clear that they do NOT want a large corporate building placed in the middle of unique and beautiful East Point and the Lodge Park area. NO EXCEPTIONS. The further disruption of this natural resource including the LARGE building, foot traffic, auto traffic, light pollution, parking lots area, is NOT WANTED. This is a BAD FIT in every way imaginable. Additionally, increased population and traffic of this scale will tax the roads, plumbing and power supplies more than our little island can manage, by a non-tax paying entity to boot. Allowing this expansion would be a huge mistake, and one that could never be undone or amended. This project would be yet another perfectly horrible example of the famous Joni Mitchell song, "...take paradise....and put up a parking lot." We need to DEFEND this sacred park and fight the good fight until we prevail. It is NO time for APATHY.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	None of the above
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	NEU is very disingenuous. They have not yet explained WHY this building is needed and WHY it is needed in Nahant. This expansion is for their glory and their bragging rights. The "work: that is planned to occur in the expansion does not need to be held in Nahant. The stress on Nahant's infrastructure (for which NEU does not pay) is a heavy burden for citizens to pay while also relinquishing the natural beauty of East Point. NEU has presented their most beautiful plans, which anyone knows will not be how the final product looks. All we need to do is to look to Mary Cummings Park to see NEU's history of being deceptive and destroying natural habitat for their own personal gain. This expansion is NOT needed in Nahant. None of the options are acceptable. NEU is not listening to Nahanters. They do not care for our town, and they clearly do not care for the environment through their desire to destroy natural habitat (which is ironic, because they operate under the guise of environmental studies).
		Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	2 - Little Progress	The presentation lacked information. I had through there would have been answers provided to citizens' questions and was disappointed there was no response from NEU. I do appreciate that everyone was willing to give people the opportunity to speak.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	I am not for Plan A, B, C or any modification until such time that mitigating studies have been done by the Town of Nahant - not Northeastern Univ. - as to the consequences of how any expansion will affect our infrastructure... our environment, our roads, our water lines, our sewage lines, traffic, our real estate values, our public institutions, our fire and police departments, etc. Thank you
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I am opposed to any expansion of the proposed scale, but very supportive of the MSC at its present or incrementally expanded scale. The proposed project would disrupt peaceful enjoyment of the Town for almost 2 years; an issue seldom mentioned.. The aim of the project, as stated by ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ in their 2016 Globe interview, is to attract \$25 M/yr in Federal support. That seems unrealistic given that the Federal support of the several times larger MBL in Woods Hole,,is about half that. The parking is very vulnerable to rising sea levels. Even at present, a large part of the proposed parking area iis inundated during no'reasters. The only defense is a solid sea wall that the DEP refuses to permit.
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No Expansion for Northeastern University
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	Please select from below	No expansion for North Eastern University in our town
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I am absolutely appossed to ANY expansion at east point. I live on Nahant road close to 40 steps and the traffic to and from northeastern has already reached an unacceptable level. We work hard, and have spent a lot of time and money to invest our families future in this SMALL, QUIET town. Please listen to the residents voices and keep it this way. If you want to expand something think about expanding the tax base, the Johnson school, emergency services. And preserving our open space for our children to enjoy.

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification15

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No Expansion. Please. I admire all the research NEU is doing in the marine science & marine biology areas, but I do not feel that it is necessary to build this huge MSC campus on the East Point area of Nahant. There are two new science buildings that have been built in the Huntington/Columbus Street area of Boston. There are also other areas around the North Shore that are close to the Nahant MSC area that could be looked into. The one square mile of Nahant is just not the appropriate site for a MSC campus of this size. No Expansion. Also, please think about relocating the cars that are parked up at East Point presently. They are parked on a wetland area right inside the gate.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Option D - No Expansion on East Point. As a lifelong, 3rd Generation Nahanter there is no good option aside from no building on this unique & beautiful piece of land! I have enjoyed East Point with my Grandparents as well as my 3 children and do NOT want to see any type of new building going up in my lifetime or future generations . Just to note, I have no problem with the current Marine Science Center and am very happy with the programs with the Johnson school but I am opposed to any new building. Please listen to the people of Nahant!
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	5 - Very Supportive	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	5 - A Lot of Progress	I have been an attorney for 50 years with a focus on real estate development. I have been a resident of Nahant for more than 25 years. I have visited the Marine Center on many occasions over the years. I have considered Northeastern to be an outstanding institutional part of the community. When I saw the intense reaction to Northeastern's initiative, I was surprised and assumed that the proposal was truly something to be opposed. I visited the site and examined the details of the proposal. I was embarrassed to learn that a vocal part of the community was mistreating and demeaning Northeastern without just cause. This lack of judgment was then compounded by the adoption of a wetlands by-law that will, ironically, be more burdensome on individual citizens than upon Northeastern. The law is a magisterial tool for improvement, but very dangerous when clumsily wielded to advance a selfish special interest. The changes to Option A may satisfy some opponents, but they do not advance any legitimate public interest. There are problems in Nahant that are worthy of your attention. This should not have been one of them. Repeal the injudicious wet land regulation; approve Northeastern's initiative; and return to normalcy. .
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Opposed totally
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	5 - Very Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	3 - Neutral/Undecided	5 - A Lot of Progress	I feel that Northeastern has been treated unfairly and most of the concerns about the new building are dubious at best. I also feel that little regard has been given to Northeastern's property rights. If the shoe was on the other foot most of the residents who are complaining would be livid that someone would dare question why they need a 5,000 sq/ft house. I am a strong supporter of the sciences and I think the new building will be a great addition to the MSC and Nahant itself. Hang in there Northeastern not everyone in this town is against the expansion. Keep Nahant Sane!
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Some Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I first heard about the project from a project manager who lives in town. The firm he works for hoped to bid on the project. This was shortly before the project became public and the Nahant citizens were informed about it. My immediate and ongoing concern is about the wildlife at East Point. In addition to birds, there are foxes and sometimes deer and coyotes. After the first public meeting, I walked around the property at Northeastern, on top of and behind the Murphy bunker. This would qualify as trespassing, but I was willing to take my chances. They (NU) had already started tearing up the landscape in preparation for the project, and have marked trees for cutting. They have also done this on the East side of the small bunker at the end of Vernon Street. At the meeting on Tuesday night, I was offended by there presenter's use of the term "invasive species" in reference to what has grown there and what lives there. What could be more invasive than raping the landscape to build this monstrous structure? Northeastern has spent a lot of time and money trying to make their plans more acceptable, but they are tuning out what many of the Nahant citizens have expressed loud and clear- WE DON'T WANT THIS HERE! I appreciate the efforts of Keep Nahant Wild, but I am concerned that if most of the money raised is going to legal fees it is being wasted. Northeastern has not given any indication that they will not go forward with their project in Nahant. I suppose that if in the end we have done all we can and they go ahead and build, then Option C that was presented on Tuesday night would be the least offensive.

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification16

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	Trustworthiness of Northeastern representatives seems open to conjecture, but assuming what was presented is truly their plan(s) to accomplish their expansion, I would be comfortable with any of the three - with option #2 being, in my opinion, the best one for the Town of Nahant. While Keep Nahant Wild would like no expansion, I do not see these proposals doing any lasting harm to East Point or to Nahant in general. (I have no idea if their electrical requirements for an expanded facility would tax our town's capacity - but I am sure that type of issue is being addressed by our Town Administrator). Northeastern owns the land. The Dover Amendment gives them a lot of autonomy. Our town leadership working with Northeastern to reach a binding compromise on the least intrusive means of bettering their facilities should be a win win for both the University and the Town.
I Do Not Live In Nahant	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I am opposed to ANY development on East Point. Too much misinformation, questions not answered. Leave this area alone. As a former member of the Open Space Committee that developed Lodge Park into a park this is very disturbing. The fundraising we did to complete the project was contributions by many TO PRESERVE THE PARK. I'm sure the \$15,000.00 we raised for soil was not to provide Northeastern a nice view.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	The overall project remains the same in terms of scope. There were many questions raised in the meeting that currently remain unanswered - the need for the size of the expansion facility, what happens to the existing facility after this "phase" in the expansion, Northeasterns long term plans for this area, demand and wear on town infrastructure, access road for fire and emergency vehicles - what does this look like? What says we won't be back in the same place again in the future for the next phase in the long term Northeastern plan for the property? Are they saying they won't decide they need to renovate and enlarge the existing building? Hard to believe that would not follow on the heels of a new structure. I do believe that Northeastern believes it is making concessions, but their viewpoint is through the veil of their mission - to grow and enhance the stature of the University and the Marine Science Center. It makes for easy self justification of the project as being beneficial to everyone. Nahant residents do not view this project in the same way. It was troubling to hear that "collaboration" on the lobster "farm" with local lobster fishermen was perhaps a stretch of the term collaboration. I find it interesting to see that the elimination of invasive plant species is now a benefit. If it was important to Northeastern, they would have made more of an effort before now. The simple fact is that much of it would be eradicated by the large swarth of the construction footprint (requiring restoration) or covered over by the new need for extensive additional parking. And then there are the birds and the bees.....
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	I wrote neutral above because I am ashamed to say I did not participate in earlier events where the opportunity for comparison were available to consider. I also understand there may be legal rights that we cannot circumvent (Dover)- i.e. we should consider negotiating; that said there is a lot to be said for public pressure - so we should keep that up, especially given there is an alternative: option in Lynn. I am concerned that both the Burlington and Roxbury were as bad as we heard, I also suspect this true was because of double speak (by NEU) - i.e. if here is a negotiation, that we lock down some basic conditions regarding height, infrastructure etc. and finally, the wetlands by law issue remains confusing at this time. I personally am very upset about this, mostly because of process issues with the vote, however it also appeared that there remains real disagreement about whether or not it could stop NEU - obviously we need a read on this ASAP and to the extent that NEU is held to the by law than we need to consider how the supporting regulations can be used to prevent NEU but not further punish home owners who just happened to have seawalls.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I don't think any of these options are good for Nahant. I have many concerns over the volume of traffic these changes will bring in to the town, and there are really no plans to avoid this problem.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	4 - Some Progress	There will not be an option that I will not be opposed to. I think building this large building in Nahant is ridiculous.

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification17

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	I was very impressed with the flexibility Northeastern displayed by presenting 3 viable options for their expansion. It is clear that they have heard the concerns and are willing to work with the town. It frustrates me that some town's people seem to forget that it IS their land and it is their right to use it as they see fit. The fact that they are willing compromise just solidifies in my mind that they are in fact "good neighbors"!
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	The TOWN is making progress by delaying NU. After years of complacency, the Residents are engaged in learning about the NU mission and potential impact on Our tiny town. Grave concerns about over-simplifying the scope of NU's expansion plans. Be very cautious and employ every legal option possible. Property is zoned NR - building permit should be denied. Accept private donations to fund a legal defense. Please allow qualified professional residents to participate WITH the TA and BOS to discuss ALL aspects of the NU proposal. NU is using all their resources; our town is at a disadvantage. Hold small group meetings to discuss potential legal strategies. We only have one chance to get this right. Please don't sell our town for a short term financial fix 🙄
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No to expansion, in any way, shape, matter, or form.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	2 - Somewhat Opposed	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	I thought the NEU presentation on 12/11 was good. And, I appreciate the significant efforts to address the Community's concerns and look forward to hearing the responses to the various questions/issues raised at the meeting on 12/11.
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No NU expansion at East Point at all please! We need to preserve the natural beauty of East Point for the residents of Nahant and the animals that live there.
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	Northeaster has always been a good neighbor, and they are committed to improving the marine environment.As usual, the " NIMBY" east pointers don't like the expansion, so the majority of the town will suffer. ie; new con com by law....this is an opportunity for the town to get all it wants from Northeastern, as they're going to build anyway.Town is wasting money and resources on a fight they can't win according to several govt officials and lawyers oppinions
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Traffic study was not done during peak summer months when the causeway is backed up also did not include additional traffic/Northeastern University traffic.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	2 - Somewhat Opposed	5 - A Lot of Progress	I appreciate ALL of the information being brought to the public by Northeastern University, NPT, and other individuals who have either done their homework or have a working knowledge of some of the areas of concern: ie, research facilities, engineering, environmental, fishing/lobstering, and small town politics. I hope that the citizens of Nahant continue to be willing to listen and share.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	With [REDACTED], and some contributions by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], I have submitted to the representatives of Northeastern University, the Nahant Board of Selectmen, the Town Administrator and other local residents a 9-page document entitled "Key questions on Northeastern University (NU) Proposed Nahant Expansion". This delineates numerous concerns and questions regarding the information Nahant residents have received from Northeastern, and why we fear the current information received is incomplete and/or misleading. I am interested in hearing NU's responses, but remain suspicious about NU's level of transparency and truthfulness, and concerned that NU's goals and plans for East Point are not appropriate for the town of Nahant.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	This is a poorly worded survey and does not address other aspects like traffic, infrastructure, lobstermen, etc.

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification18

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	I wish there was a choice that said NO EXPANSION! I am opposed to any of the proposed options.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I found the MIT speaker's concerns an eye opener. It makes me think that NE is hiding intent. This project is overscale compared to our tiny town. In addition, they never said what the benefits to the town would be. No, no, no!
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No expansion at East Point! The MSC as it is may stay or go in my opinion. If they stay as they are today they should still increase PILOT funds to help pay for their use of our infrastructure. I truly think they and we would be better off if they moved to Lynn and expanded there, in a larger city which has the proper infrastructure and wants them. If they expand here it will change the entire character of this town forever. People who are driving to/from work or school drive differently than people who are driving in their own neighborhood, where they know and care about their neighbors and children in the town. All anyone has to do is hang out near the MSC at quitting time to see them speeding out of there. Through this process they haven't even been good enough "neighbors" to change that! And now they want to bring in more of it??? NO to NU! They are not to be trusted. The unique, sleepy residential/resort town character of Nahant will be lost and we will never be able to get that back. PLEASE listen to the residents of Nahant and cease discussions with NU immediately. Whatever they promise to do for us will be temporary. But the devastation of the character of this town will be lost forever.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	Please select from below	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	All 3 options are out of scale and inappropriate for our small, beautiful Town. We must protect our open space and defend areas zoned for natural resource protection. Building behind Edwards (part of proposal C) or where Edwards is now might be okay. Thanks for your many efforts.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	If this actually helped Nahant, then wouldn't the people that love this town be for it instead of so vehemently opposed?
I Do Not Live In Nahant	Other	5 - Very Supportive	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification19

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	4 - Some Progress	Would Northeastern consider abandoning the existing Edwards building(s) and consolidate into a single building on the bunker? Has a green roof been considered on the proposed bunker addition? What is the plan for stormwater management? The central part of the site already retains water in storm events, limited stormwater storage/infiltration opportunities. The existing and proposed facility relies on an access road that is susceptible to damage in storm events and will be threatened by sea level rise. What is the long term plan for protecting facilities and utilities? Has any progress been made on an environmental restoration effort for Canoe Beach?
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
	Attended The Public Meeting	5 - Very Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	5 - Very Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	I preferred building number one because I feel it would appear as a more balanced building and mainly because I feel that the staff would feel more productive in a sun lit environment than working in a cellar with artificial lighting. I believe that you may have also received an incompleated version of this form from me when I accidentally hit the wrong key. I was not trying to stuff the ballot box.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	Please select from below	5 - Very Supportive	Please select from below	Please select from below	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	Please select from below	I really cannot rank the proposals, partly because the Town Hall sound system is so terrible, partly because I would support any of the alternatives if the Selectmen and Northeastern can agree. Northeastern has been a good neighbor for fifty years and I believe will be a good neighbor for the next fifty. Not many communities have such expertise to fight pollution, to bring up children to understand and work for nature, and to fit in so well to a unique one-square- (at least when we're not quarreling too loud).
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	I have lived in Nahant for 26 years, my great aunt and uncle came here in 1950. My mom lives on Nahant Road, my sister lives on Linda Lane, my partner's sister lives on Chamberlain Circle. It would be a great impact on this small island of Nahant to have such a development. There is enough traffic especially in the summer let along more cars coming in and out for Northeastern. Lynn gave them carte blanche, why here?
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Opposed to Any Expansion at East Point
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	The proposed building at East Point is a horrendous idea! To ruin that beautiful piece of property for Northeastern's ego is ridiculous, Nahant does not need more traffic in Town it would just take away from our quaintness no matter what Northeaster has said its all about The dollar,

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification20

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	The area on which Northeastern wishes to expand is a designated Natural Resource Area. Our Town Bylaws prohibit building in Natural Resource Areas. That should be final. But so far this prohibition seems to be being ignored. I have admired Northeastern's previous adaptive reuse of the military bunkers. I have appreciated these scars of war now "healed over" and providing a thriving habitat for wildlife and a place of beauty. I have been content to have the Marine Science Center present in this space because I believed they shared my desire to protect the environment. My evidence was the obvious effort to keep a small foot print by the MSC and it's collaboration with the Town and SWIM in getting the sewage outfall pipe moved further from our shores. The walk from Bathing Beach up the rocks has long been a favorite of mine. I was very surprised to return to East Point after after a period of absence and to find the area behind the Edwards Building built out. Only later did the lobstermen tell us of the increased pumping. Their information confirmed what I had noticed. When the plans for the new building were presented last February information began to roll in about building projects Northeastern has imposed on other locations. I find issues in the Burlington Project sad and instructive. However, I find the Roxbury project the most concerning. At the presentation by Northeastern on December 11th we were told that Northeastern did nothing in Roxbury that was not approved by the agencies in charge and that we should read about it. I had already read about it. But I reread it. It seems that the people of Roxbury who negotiated in good faith were betrayed. First by Northeastern- by not honoring the agreement and secondly by the empowered officials, appointed or elected - who failed to support the people they were supposed to serve. This is the scenario I fear will repeat here in Nahant. I hope this fear is never realized.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	Please select from below	Please select from below	I am against Northeastern expanding. East Point should be left wild.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No expansion!
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	All the projects proposed just seem too expansive to support our town's infrastructure. What bothers me the most is that when asked why they needed all that space for the relatively small amount of professors/workers they didn't have a definitive answer.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	The residents have made it clear that we do not want that area to be expanded. They should explore building in Lynn.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	2 - Somewhat Opposed	4 - Somewhat Supportive	2 - Somewhat Opposed	Please select from below	My responses are based on the assumption we have NO legal argument to stop NEU. I would like to see the Town follow up on the Dover Amendment issue and the Town's natural resource bylaws. I would suggest to get a WRITTEN legal opinion from [REDACTED] /Nahant Preservation's lawyers; have that reviewed by the Town's lawyers with a WRITTEN opinion and see whether both sets of lawyers agree to the likelihood of success getting around the Dover Amendment based on the Town's bylaws. Although I do not expect the antiNEU group to ever concede, legal backup would assist the BOS's final decision on the matter, legal backup is a necessity to protect all involved. There are still many unanswered questions to NEU, especially the necessity of the size of the building. It appears to be much larger than necessary for NEU's needs which makes me suspicious that they are not being honest. Although not discussed at the meeting, NEU's TOTAL impact on the town should be carefully assessed, during construction as well as subsequent. Any thing NEU represents must be verified and reverified. Thank you,
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	3 - Neutral/Undecided	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	We are against any further expansion at East Point. Along with the many reasons defended by others at the December 11 meeting, I would also say that the lighting required at night would completely ruin the natural and "wild" experience. The lighting will be glaring and seen from miles around. No more natural beauty. Two big parking lots and a massive building, or two, and East Point is gone forever. We have been homeowners here for 17 years. We proudly live in [REDACTED] former home. We believe he would've fought to save East Point and we will, too. Keep Nahant Wild. No Expansion at East Point.

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification21

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Nahant is too small for this building. It does not belong here and should be built where it would fit. When did they change from a "dry" building ? Initially, they said it was not connected to marine science program. Now they act as though it is one program, to try to make it seem as though it belongs here. When did they drop "Urban?" They have shown they can not be trusted. The majority of residents do not want this.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I am against further expansion by Northeastern University at East Point. East Point is a Natural Resource District under the Town's By-Laws, and has been for nearly 30 years. I strongly believe any further expansion of the Marine Science Center will irrevocably change not only the scenic beauty of East Point but also the essential being of our small town. By this expansion, Northeastern may well be destroying the very things they are hoping to study. If further expansion is allowed, that will be an historic decision which will negatively impact the future of East Point's landscape, and that of our town, for us now and all future generations to come.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I do not support ANY expansion at East Point.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	5 - Very Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	3 - Neutral/Undecided	5 - A Lot of Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I am wondering when and how we will hear the answers to all of the questions that were presented by citizens at the public meeting? Is Northeastern going to respond to them? and how will I know, will it be put online? in a presentation? There were many thoughtful questions at the forum, and we did not hear answers from NEU. The Roxbury and Burlington situations leave a bad feeling in my heart about trusting what they say they are going to do with Nahant's land. The project seems to still have a lot of unanswered questions, and I feel that I am getting sold a possible lemon by a saavy pr department. Smoke and mirrors. If they need to renovate they should renovate on the exact existing footprint that they already have. Rebuild within Nahant Zoning laws on the land that is already occupied by NEU buildings.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Little Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Your expansion benefits only your facility and your program. It will cause irreparable damage to the ocean and natural community in the surrounding area, that you claim to respect. If you have respect for nature and the Town of Nahant, whose relationship you have benefited from for years, please just STOP the expansion NOW!!!! I will not support any of your options!!!!
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	Survey works on my android, does not work on windows 10
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	2 - Somewhat Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	3 - Neutral/Undecided	3 - Neutral/Undecided	The survey form noes NOT work It is not possible to enter a very opposed response therefore the survey is useless. The present proposal is a building is designed to be ideally suited for a future vertical expansion of multiple floors. How is this prevented in the future. This is a slippery slope with a group with a very bad reputation!

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification22

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Nahant should learn from the other projects NEU has promoted and then built in Burlington, Roxbury and other Towns. What they present to the residents is the opposite of what they buildt and they were not honest about the purpose they build it for. Burlington has now become a drone lab, a Homeland Security property, Nahant due to its prime location would be ideal for the very same uses. They have a Genome Lab in Nahant, perhaps they would like to add additional space to obtain more species to be experimented on in Nahant? Release in our waters? You won't know until it's too late, why do they need so much space? You won't know that until it's too late either. You have one opportunity to protect our land, our environment, our security, our small Town way of life. Please don't be bamboozed by their ambitions. They've had 50 years to become a good neighbor and support Nahant with more than 1/2 Scholarships (only recently when they desired more space did they give a token to our Town) A good neighbor doesn't attempt to go forward with a project the majority of their neighbors reject. Once they build on land that is in Wetlands and Conservation land, we can never change that decision, this is just a start of what they will actually attempt in the future if history repeats itself. Once this green open space is gone, it's gone. Nahant only has 2 other Open spaces, do we really want 150-200 additional cars with hundreds more people roaming around out 1 mile town? NEU can build this project anywhere, but because they think they can convince the Selectman this is good for the town, they're insulting your intelligence, they think because we're a small Town we have small minds running it, clear and simple. Why are they spending millions to convince us? A close friend of the NEU President told me, the President stated to them that this project is "his legacy" Does that sound like someone who's interested in anything but his own self interest? This is our Town and our legacy, do the right thing for our Town, you'll never be able to undo your decision to ruin our Town...just for someone's legacy?
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	Please select from below	
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	3 - Neutral/Undecided	
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	5 - A Lot of Progress	If you look at the NU pr material and Globe articles since 2012 they are moving ahead steadily! It is important to kniw ehere all funding us coming from! And in an a Globe article I cannot find mentions one of the governmental agencies involved is Homeland Security. Security agencies give me concern. I fear their involvement could overrule any locall objections.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Simple! No expansion in Nahant.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	No EXPANSION
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	Please select from below	1 - No Progress	NO to any expansion!
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	Whatever the final proposal is must go to Town Meeting for approval as a zoning change or overlay The overall scale should be limited to something close to existing square footage. Permanent conservation easements should be conveyed to the town for the unbuilt areas. The Town should reject any proposal that doesn't go to town meeting and garner the required two thirds majority and defend the town's right to set reasonable restrictions
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	3- Neutral/Undecided	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched On Nahant Cable TV	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Some Progress	

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification23

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	3- Neutral/Undecided	4 - Somewhat Supportive	4 - Somewhat Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	I have no problem with a controlled build. I feel we need to get something in return for the Town; a new fire station or a combined Public Safety Building. NU could easily afford a \$5 to \$8 million structure which would service the town for the next 50 years in lieu of taxes.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I am opposed to building any large structure of this sort on open space in Nahant. Nahant never should have let that property go to a non-profit that pays no taxes in the 1960's. We would be making a bigger mistake this time around if the town gives NU permission to build. We have one square mile here and should not let this beautiful town be over taken by a non taxpaying University. Let's not "Pave paradise and put up a parking lot".
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	5 - Very Supportive	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	5 - A Lot of Progress	I fully support Northeastern's plans for the MSC expansion. I am very dissapointed with the negativity of some of my Nahant neighbors and with the misinformation that is being spread by some of them.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - Very Supportive	5 - A Lot of Progress	Northeastern has made a tremendous effort to modify its planned MSC expansion to assuage the concerns expressed by the town's citizens. The mission of the facility is to conduct research on ways to establish coastal resilience in the face of major climate change. This is a subject that should be near and dear to the hearts of all Nahant residents. Since I am a faculty member at the MSC, one might dismiss my views as self-serving. However, I am a scientist who is committed to rational analysis of data. My conclusion based on Northeastern's presentation is that the proposed MSC expansion will have little impact on the daily life of our community. Rather, it will have a major impact in helping to solve a national crisis facing coastal regions, where so much of our population (and indeed the world's population) lives. We must not kick the can down the road and say, "Let someone else do it." Nahant and the MSC are poised to make a real difference in costal sustainability.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Somewhat Opposed	2 - Little Progress	I believe NE has only started to address some of the towns concerns but appears more driven by public pressure and possible "bad press". Their initial plan calling for absurd seawater intake volumes was obviously never thought out and tends to give away the strategy of just building a place with unthinkable/fantastic capabilities and they (students) will come. I believe that the initial request for seawater volumes would not hold up under pier scrutiny and is most probably the reason for the reduction. NE has a reputation as a second tier marine science program and the inability to describe the true technical needs for the site leads me to think whatever they say they will do, will be changed later to what they want. I do not believe what they recently presented is in good faith and I don't think they should be granted any permits for anything until a coherent response is presented. I have some experience with staff to laboratory ratios and thought the town residents who challenged them on that point has merit. You can have a very sizable staffing, or students around relative insignificant demonstration labs. I would like to see Nahant's Zoning-By-Laws enforced as a going in position.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	This survey infers that citizens must choose between option A, B, and C. There should have had an option (None of Above) as well. Our selectmen voted unanimously to fight (allocating \$50,000) Northeastern expansion at the "big" Feb. 2018 meeting. The selectmen also submitted a letter in support of opposing Northeastern's expansion proposal to accompany the town wide petition that had accumulated 1700 signatures which was hand delivered to Northeastern. It seems to me that the selectmen should abide by their written commitment and continue to support their constituents. The BOS failed to utilize our own bylaws prohibiting Northeastern's plans based on the fact that their property is in the Natural Resource zone. Our existing zoning bylaws should be used to defend the town against Northeastern's plans (Previous cases show the the Dover Amendment will not trump our Natural Resource zoning ordinance). Private residents have been forced to be proactive in multiple ways inclusive of fundraising, creating and disseminating signs throughout town, petition, demonstrations, and especially, a gift of \$68,000 to cover legal expenses for comprehensive "due diligence" to provide pertinent information to the BOS and the Nahant citizens so that, together, we can make informed decisions moving forward. Stopping Northeastern Expansion needs to be rigorously pursued by the BOS and the Town Administrator!

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification24

Are You A Nahant Resident?	How did you receive the information presented by Northeastern University on December 11th.	OPTION A: MODIFIED ORIGINAL This design eliminates both the visible entry tower on the western side of the bunker and the proposed Vernon Street entrance.	OPTION B: WEST SIDE ADDITION This option proposes a smaller structure on and around Murphy Bunker that will reduce the overall footprint on the east side of the bunker and hide more of it below ground.	OPTION C: ADDITION BEHIND THE EDWARDS BUILDING This option further obscures and reduces the footprint on both sides of the bunker by moving 13,000 gross square feet of the addition behind the existing Edwards Building.	PLEASE RATE NORTHEASTERN'S PROGRESS AT THIS POINT IN TIME	Additional Input or Feedback
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	I was very disappointed in both the publication and the presentation from Northeastern University. This followup survey is also very disturbing! Who generated the survey? For what purpose? It suggests that citizens should choose one of the three options when I believe none of them should be considered. Why not a fourth option, NO EXPANSION? Additionally, I was not surprised by the arrogance and total indifference of NU's representatives to the concerns of the citizens of Nahant. After sharing our opposition with multiple signs, 1700 signatures on a petition, demonstrations, conversations, contentious meetings, etc., etc. Northeastern has validated their blind eyes and deaf ears to our basic concern - NO EXPANSION! Northeastern is not a "good neighbor" that will save Nahant and the world from climate change. Look what has happened to their grant funded Canoe Beach project .They espouse their expertise in coastal sustainability yet the Canoe Beach Project 2017/2018 totally designed by the "NE experts" lasted only to succumb to the first big Northeaster of the season. Most Nahanters knew beforehand that the imported sand (was it tested for invasive species?) and rocks would soon wind up in the street and on the grass near the Corporal Richard Davis Memorial. What have they done to help Nahant during the fifty-two years that they have been in our midst? Not much! The Lynn sewer system which Nahant and Swampscott also tie into, regularly pollutes Nahant waters during storm events (CSOs) and ultimately results in multiple closings of our beaches during the summer. Water quality studies advocating for improvements should have been a regular support service offered by our "good neighbor"? NU staff and students are parking their cars in the wetlands (zoning bylaw has been overlooked on this...section 6.06)! Nahant residents are inhaling carbon emissions from the intimidating security car that is running almost 24/7, which by the way, is against the law! The glaring light in the parking area and the constant noise pollution prevents abutters from enjoying their decks in the summer. Every evening throughout the year the pink glare emulating from the "greenhouse" situated on Bathing Beach making people think a night club is occupying the premises. All of which is also against our own bylaws! Where is the oversight and enforcement? Just wait until we get to see their proposed 60,000 square foot building lit up with lights in every office and flood lights around the property! Hundreds of migrating birds will be blinded by the reflective glass structure, ultimately flying into the glass ending their migration. They have also used and abused the twelve Nahant lobstermen in their propaganda trying to convince Nahant citizens of a collaboration that is non-existent. It is also hard to believe that "Marine Scientists" would have a water pumping system for decades that has killed millions of fish larvae and stage1-3 lobsters for years without concern for the impact on marine life, appalling! How can we trust NU with their self-motivated lies and deception evident in all five of their publications! NU does NOT pay one penny in taxes so the Nahant citizens have to absorb all of the fiscal responsibilities for the burden on both our infrastructure and public safety services. We have been thrown a few crumbs in the last few years in preparation for the roll out of this huge expansion which we have published proof has really been in the planning since 2012 (not 2017). Why weren't we notified and invited to the table six years ago? Throwing a few coins at us to totally destroy a beautiful town is despicable. Homes bought by NU staff will convert Nahant into a college town. Other property bought by NU itself, will mean a loss of taxes. As the taxes increase, some residents will be forced to sell, our own Johnson School may have to close. I do not want my legacy to be that I gave up without a fight for what is right. Just because NU owns the property doesn't mean they have the right to destroy the smallest community in MA with limited access in and out. A good neighbor would never consider a project that will negatively impact an entire community. The zoning of their property is designated Natural Resource. According to our own bylaws, building and structures are not permitted in this zone. This has been the case since 1991. Expert legal minds believe Northeastern does not have the right to build despite the Dover amendment. It is my hope that the BOS and TA will be in support of the vast majority of Nahant citizens in STOPPING NU EXPANSION! To date, I do not feel your passion! To repeat what I said at the meeting,...I do NOT want the sign at the end of the causeway to read... Entering Nahant, Home of Northeastern North!
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	2 - Little Progress	This project is out of scale for Nahant. We still don't have much detail from Northeastern. I am very disappointed that the liaison committee was disbanded and concerned about what the process looks like going forward.
Yes I Am A Resident	Attended The Public Meeting	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	5 - A Lot of Progress	I am VERY grateful for the thought and work NU put into their new proposals. They have done a great, excellent job. BUT their site (and the town itself) are way too small for their "WORLD-CLASS" vision. Light and noise pollution!!! I WOULD SUPPPORT a smaller project (two-story?) on the current site of the EDWARDS building.
Yes I Am A Resident	Other	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	NO EXPANSION IS ACCEPTABLE. LYNN IS BEGGING FOR YOU TO BUILD ON THEIR WATERFRONT WHERE IT WOULD BE MUCH EASIER TO REACH FOR YOUR FACULTY AND STUDENTS. NORTHEASTERN'S EXPANSION WOULD INCREASE DAILY TRAFFIC TO NAHANT BY OVER 15% AND THEN IT IS ANYONE'S GUESS HOW MUCH MORE IN THE SUMMER.
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	
Yes I Am A Resident	Watched Online	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - Very Opposed	1 - No Progress	

*The data presented omits any information relative to participant identification25